Reversible optical nonreciprocity in periodic structures with liquid crystals
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We demonstrate how to achieve reversible nonreciprocal optical response in a periodic photonic structure with a pair of defects, one of them being a nonlinear liquid crystal defect layer. The twin defect structure is symmetric at low intensity and becomes asymmetric above an intensity threshold that corresponds to the optical reordering of the liquid crystal. We show that nonreciprocal effects can be reversed by changing the wavelength as a consequence of the wavelength dependent light localization at the defect mode inside the structure. © 2010 American Institute of Physics.[doi:10.1063/1.3300824]

Optical nonreciprocity (ONR) refers to different properties for opposite propagation directions of electromagnetic waves. Nonreciprocal response is usually related to time-reversal symmetry breaking of light-matter interaction. A well-known example is found in gyrotropic materials, where left- and right-handed polarized fields propagate at different speeds in the presence of an external static magnetic field. However, ONR is not restricted to the use of magnetic field. Indeed, it has been suggested that time-dependent refractive index modulation can lead to ONR by inducing dynamically indirect interband photonic transitions. Nonreciprocity can also be achieved without the use of applied external fields in spatially asymmetric light sensitive media, and, formally, one can make the distinction between linear and nonlinear systems. The former class is based on optical absorption or anisotropy, or employs optomechanical effects. On the other hand, the use of optical nonlinearities allows to achieve tunable devices driven by the light itself. For example, power dependent ONR has been proposed for the realization of all-optical diodes and unidirectional couplers.

Among various optically nonlinear materials, liquid crystals (LCs) possess orientational third-order nonlinearities that are up to several orders of magnitude larger than Kerr electronic nonlinearities of conventional dielectrics. Therefore, the efficiency of all-optical nonreciprocal strategies based on LCs can be enhanced in dielectric periodic structures by embedding a LC defect layer asymmetrically inside it. Such a geometry has been previously explored but for an isotropic case. Nonreciprocity can also be achieved without the use of applied external fields in spatially asymmetric light sensitive media, and, formally, one can make the distinction between linear and nonlinear systems. The former class is based on optical absorption or anisotropy, or employs optomechanical effects. On the other hand, the use of optical nonlinearities allows to achieve tunable devices driven by the light itself. For example, power dependent ONR has been proposed for the realization of all-optical diodes and unidirectional couplers.

For the purpose of demonstration, we consider a photonic structure made of alternating layers of SiO2 and TiO2 with thicknesses 103 and 64 nm, respectively, whose transmission spectrum has a gap in the visible range between 500 and 720 nm. Inside such a structure either one (case I) or two (case II) defect layers are embedded. In case I, the defect is a nematic layer with its director (i.e., the unit vector n that represents the local average molecular orientation of the LC) lying along the light propagation axis z in the absence of reorientation, n0=1. For the LC layer thicknesses L=5 μm for both cases, which means that the linear defect layer in case II has a refractive index n_L..

In case I, the optical field distribution inside the unperturbed photonic structure is asymmetric, as depicted in Fig. 1(b) where a typical calculated electric field profiles are shown for left-to-right (LR) and right-to-left (RL) incident light. Obviously, the light confinement inside the LC layer depends on the propagation direction. Indeed, in the example shown in Fig. 1(b), the localization of light is stronger in the LR case than in the RL one. Therefore, the light intensity threshold above which optical reordering occurs differs for the LR and RL situations. The corresponding normalized thresholds ρ_L are shown in Fig. 1(c) as a function of the wavelength of light λ, where we introduce the normalized incident intensity with respect to the OFT value for a LC slab alone, ρ=I_{incident}/I_{OFT}. As expected, these thresholds are spectrally modulated with local minima at each of the defect mode frequency and we find that ρ_{LR} < ρ_{RL} independently of λ [see Fig. 1(c)]. The optical response of the whole
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The nonreciprocal condition, however, imposes a nonlinear
activated.
However, is imposed by the intrinsic asymmetry of the struc-
tructure that is present even when the nonlinearity is not acti-
vated.

From above considerations, any attempt to control the
unidirectionality thus requires an initially symmetric device.
The nonreciprocal condition, however, imposes a nonlinear
asymmetry. Such conditions are satisfied in the case II,
where a nonlinear/linear pair of defects is embedded into a
symmetric periodic structure, \( N_R = N_L \), and separated by a
symmetric multilayer characterized by \( N_C \) [see Figs. 1(d) and
1(e)]. The LR and RL normalized reorientation thresholds
are shown in Fig. 1(f). We find that either \( \rho_{th}^{LR} < \rho_{th}^{RL} \) or \( \rho_{th}^{LR} > \rho_{th}^{RL} \), condition is satisfied depending on the wavelength, as
illustrated by the spectral zones referred to as “A” and “B,”
respectively [see Fig. 1(f)]. As a matter of fact, such a be-
behavior is consistently retrieved from the spatial confinement
of the incident light field into the unperturbed LC defect
layer. This is illustrated in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e) where the
typical electric field calculated profiles are shown for the LR
and RL situations in the case A, where confinement is more
efficient for LR propagation [see Fig. 1(d)], and in the case
B, where RL confinement is better [see Fig. 1(e)].

As a result, ONR unidirectionality can be reversed by
changing the wavelength of light. Note, however, that the
spatial mirror symmetry for the light field is restored when
the wavelength of the incident light matches one of the de-
fect mode wavelength \( \lambda_d \), which results in identical thresh-
olds \( \rho_{th}^{LR}(\lambda_d) = \rho_{th}^{RL}(\lambda_d) \).

When \( \min[\rho_{th}^{LR}(\lambda)] < \rho < \max[\rho_{th}^{LR}(\lambda)] \) at a given
wavelength, the LC is reoriented for light impinging from,
i.e., \( n \neq n_0 \) from one direction, whereas \( n = n_0 \) for the op-
posite light propagation direction. Above \( \max[\rho_{th}^{LR}(\lambda)] \),
the LC is always reoriented for any direction of the incident light
propagation, however, the LR and RL reoriented states re-
main distinct, thus preserving a nonreciprocal material re-
sponse.

Also, we note that the intensity is not the only parameter
that dictates the optically induced reorientation qualitative
behavior. Indeed, it is known that the detuning parameter \( \delta
= \lambda - \lambda_d \) between the incident wavelength and the nearest
defect mode wavelength controls the order of the OFT, which is
first-order for \( \delta > 0 \) and second-order when \( \delta < 0 \).

The ONR behavior associated with LC reorientation is sum-
marized in Fig. 2 where we plot (i) the phase associated to LC
reorientation for the transmitted light, \( \phi_i \) (i.e., \( \phi_i = 0 \) if \( n = n_0 \) and \( \phi_i \) the reduced amplitude of the output Poynting
vector, \( \hat{S} \) is the wave vector of the incident light. In this figure, both positive [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] and negative [see Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)]
detuning

---

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) Director reorientation angle \( \Theta(z) \) with respect to the unperturbed state \( n_0 = e_\parallel \), which satisfies the boundary conditions \( \Theta(z=0, L) = 0 \). (b) Case I: asymmetric single LC defect periodic structure with a different number of SiO\(_2\)/TiO\(_2\) periodic cells on the left and right sides, \( N_L \neq N_R \). Typical electric field amplitude profile for left-to-right light propagation, “LR,” (respectively, right-to-left, “RL”) in the absence of reorientation. (c) Transmission \( T \) and normalized threshold intensity \( \rho_{th}^{LR} \) with \( N_L = 5 \) and \( N_R = 7 \) for case I. Right part, case II: [d] and [e]) Electric field profile within the unperturbed twin defect layer structure for LR and RL propagation and a wavelength belonging to region referred to as “A” [panel (d)] or “B” [panel (e)]. (f) Transmission \( T \) and normalized threshold intensity \( \rho_{th}^{LR} \) with \( N_L = N_R = 5 \) and \( N_C = 1 \).
values in the vicinity of the two adjacent defect modes $\lambda_d \approx 604$ nm and $\lambda_d \approx 596$ nm [see Fig. 1(f)] are shown. Note that $\delta_{in}^c > 0$ for the light propagating in LR direction, and $\delta_{in}^c < 0$ for opposite direction (RL). In Fig. 2, the solid and dashed curves refer to wavelength belonging to region A and B, respectively [see Fig. 1(f)].

We find a reversible ONR response both for the positive and the negative detuning situations. However, the case $\delta > 0$ looks more attractive for both phase and amplitude dependencies with respect to the propagation direction and intensity of the incident field. First, the phase of the transmitted field, $\varphi_t$, typically experiences a $\pi$-jump at the LC reorientation threshold [see arrows in see Fig. 2(a)]. This is due to the fact that the defect mode resonance shifts toward larger wavelength when the OCT occurs. Therefore, the fixed excitation wavelength $\lambda$ passes from one to the other side of the defect mode resonance due to reorientation, i.e., the effective detuning changes it sign, which is accompanied by a $\pi$ phase shift. Second, the ratio of the transmission in opposite directions, $T_{RL}/T_{LR}$ or $T_{RL}/T_{LR}$ depending on the wavelength, of the order of 100 is achieved in the hysteresis regions for positive detuning $\delta = +1$ nm [see Fig. 2(b)], as shown in Fig. 3. Practically, this ratio can be further enhanced by using larger number of periodic layers $N_{RL}$.

In conclusion, we have proposed a simple approach to achieve a reversible nonreciprocal optical response controlled by the wavelength of light. This has been made possible by using a linear/nonlinear pair of defect layers embedded in a periodic structure that is invariant by mirror symmetry when the nonlinearity is not activated. For the purpose of illustration, liquid crystals have been used as the optically nonlinear material, however our approach basically works whatever the nature of the nonlinearity. Moreover, the present concept is not restricted to one-dimensional structures and can be easily generalized to two-dimensional and three-dimensional geometries.
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19Only elastic reorientation modes lying in the plane defined by the electric field and the wavevector of the incident light are considered within a plane wave description of the optical field. Therefore, the twist elastic constant $K_2$ is not involved in the equations of the model.