Dense arrays of microscopic optical vortex generators from femtosecond direct laser writing of radial birefringence in glass

Etienne Brasselet,^{a)} Arnaud Royon,^{b)} and Lionel Canioni^{c)} Univ. Bordeaux, LOMA, UMR 5798, F-33400 Talence, France CNRS, LOMA, UMR 5798, F-33400 Talence, France

(Received 20 February 2012; accepted 9 March 2012; published online 30 April 2012)

We report on the generation of permanent singular light mode converters at the microscale using femtosecond direct laser writing in photo-thermo-refractive glass. It relies on the irreversible light-induced radial birefringence in the bulk of the material. The ability of such birefringence pattern to convert the spin angular momentum of light into orbital optical angular momentum is exploited to demonstrate the production of large arrays of optical vortex generators with surface densities up to 10^4 cm^{-2} . © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4705414]

Femtosecond (fs) direct laser writing (DLW) is a well established technology that allows to fabricate threedimensional microstructures in transparent materials. It basically relies on light-induced controlled modifications of physical properties, for instance refractive index, absorption, luminescence, or nonlinear optical characteristics, and it has applications in many fields, ranging from the technologies of information and telecommunications to biology and medicine.¹

In particular, fs-DLW has been found useful to fabricate singular micro-optical elements, namely, structures enabling the generation of light fields endowed with phase and/or polarization singularities. An example is the realization of a photopolymerized microscopic spiral phase plate to control the orbital angular momentum imparted to optical tweezers.² Since then, fs-laser polymerization processes have matured and nowadays single and arrays of microscopic optical vortex generators with arbitrary topological charge can be achieved.³ Another option relies on fs-DLW of space-variant birefringence pattern relying on form or true birefringence as demonstrated in fused silica⁴ and sapphire,⁵ respectively. However, these approaches generally require the tedious point-by-point definition of the singular optical elements.

Actually, the use of single-point fs-DLW has only been reported recently in glasses,⁶ whose principle of operation relies on the geometry of the damaged region rather than laser-induced birefringence. Still, the possibility to induce radial birefringence by single-point fs-DLW in glass has also been reported.' Here, we report on the single-point fs-DLW production of radial birefringence in a glassy material with an energy budget that is more than 100 times smaller than previously reported.⁷ This is made possible by using photothermo-refractive (PTR) glass that has a similar composition as soda-lime glass, apart the presence of silver. Indeed the addition of photosensitive agents, such as silver, allows to enhance the light-induced material modifications while minimizing the energy budget.⁸ Moreover, we show that such a light-induced radial birefringence allows for the realization of microscopic optical vortex generators via a spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum conversion process, which mimics the principle of operation of optical vortex generators based on radially ordered liquid crystal droplets.⁹ Since the obtained singular light mode converters are permanently inscribed in glass at predetermined locations, dense arrays of them can be readily achieved and here we report on surface densities up to 10^4 cm^{-2} .

We used a 2mm-thick slab of PTR glass with molar composition {70% SiO₂, 15% Na₂O, 5% ZnO, 4% Al₂O₃, 5% NaF, 1% KBr, and 0.01% Ag₂O}. It possesses an absorption cutoff wavelength at 260 nm (due to the absorption band associated with the silver ions) and a refractive index n = 1.4959 at 587.5 nm wavelength. This kind of glass has originally been developed for the recording of volume holograms.¹⁰ It exhibits a negative refractive index change up to 6×10^{-4} when exposed to continuous-wave ultraviolet laser¹¹ or near-infrared femtosecond laser radiation,¹² both followed by thermal treatment. As mentioned above, note that our glass has no cerium in its composition. It has been shown that this glass, when exposed to near-infrared femtosecond laser pulses, exhibits the same response regarding to the presence or absence of cerium.¹² Indeed, in the case of femtosecond laser irradiation, the reduction of silver is initiated by the releasing of an electron from silver ions or the glass matrix, not from cerium ions.¹²

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(a). The sample is irradiated using a fs-laser source operating on the TEM₀₀ mode with $M^2 = 1.2$ that emits 500 fs pulses at 1030 nm and 10 MHz repetition rate. The maximum output average power is close to 6W, which gives a maximum energy of 600 nJ per pulse. The pulse energy is tuned by means of an acousto-optic modulator, which is also used as a controllable shutter. The sample is irradiated by the secondharmonic of the laser beam with wavelength $\lambda = 515$ nm that is produced using a frequency doubling crystal, a pair of lenses, and a filter that blocks the fundamental harmonic. The laser beam is then focused using a microscope objective with numerical aperture NA = 0.7, the location of the beam focus is $d = 150 - 200 \,\mu\text{m}$ below the input facet of the sample and the beam waist diameter $2w_0$ (defined at exp(-2) of its maximal intensity) and the confocal parameter $2z_0$, see Fig. 1(a), are estimated following $w_0 = 0.61\lambda/\text{NA}$ and $z_0 = n\pi w_0^2/\lambda$, namely $2w_0 = 0.9 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $2z_0 = 3.7 \,\mu\text{m}$. In

^{a)}Electronic mail: e.brasselet@loma.u-bordeaux1.fr.

^{b)}Electronic mail: a.royon@loma.u-bordeaux1.fr.

c)Electronic mail: lionel.canioni@u-bordeaux1.fr.



FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the experimental set-up. AOM: acousto-optic modulator; SHG: second-harmonic generation stage; PC: polarization control stage; MO: microscope objective. The sample is a PTR glass whose composition is given in the text. (b) Unpolarized white light imaging of the photomodified glass using $N = 10^5$ pulses and increasing fluence values F = 6.28, 7.26, 7.73, 8.15, 8.25, 8.35, 8.41, and 8.46 J.cm⁻² that refer to numbering from 1 to 8, respectively. (c) Crossed linear polarization white light imaging with inverted grayscale, where LP and LP' refer to the direction of the linear polarizers. (d) Crossed circular polarization imaging at 532 nm wavelength. Here, $d = 150 \,\mu$ m.

addition, half-wave and quarter-wave plates are inserted in the laser path to set the polarization state of the beam. The position of the sample is controlled using a threedimensional translational stage with a 10 nm resolution.

By irradiating the sample with multi-shot exposure $(N = 10^5 \text{ pulses})$, we observe irreversible modifications that are visible under unpolarized white light imaging. This is shown in Fig. 1(b) where the labeling from 1 to 8 refers to fluence values $F = 2E/(\pi w_0^2) = 6.28, 7.26, 7.73, 8.15, 8.25,$ 8.35, 8.41, and 8.46 J cm⁻², respectively, where E is the average pulse energy. These modifications results from laserinduced refractive index changes due to the formation of NaF nanocrystallites around Ag⁰ nucleation centers.¹³ The central part of the laser-induced structures consists in microvoids surrounded by an over-dense shell, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The formation mechanisms of such voids are well known in fused silica when using single¹⁴ or multi-shot fsexcitation with a repetition period T of the order of or shorter than the thermal diffusion characteristic time τ .¹⁵ In our case, T = 100 ns and following the scaling $\tau \sim w_0^2 / D$ where $\mathcal{D} = 0.46 \,\mu m^2 \mu s^{-1}$ is the thermal diffusivity of the PTR glass, we get $T/\tau \sim 1$. This implies a temperature increase as a result of multi-shot exposure with the appearance of a thermally affected zone, see dashed region in Fig. 1(b), whose origin has been previously discussed in the particular case of soda-lime glass.⁷ The appearance of a radial birefringence in the latter region is demonstrated from the observation of a well-defined dark cross pattern (note that the grayscale has been inverted for better readability) between crossed linear polarizers [see Fig. 1(c)] whatever the azimuthal position of the sample in the plane (*x*, *y*) whereas a doughnut-shaped intensity profile is observed between crossed circular polarizers [see Fig. 1(d)]. Also, the results are found the same whatever the polarization state of the writing beam.

Towards a quantitative estimation of the light-induced radial birefringence, the knowledge of the three-dimensional geometry of the thermally affected zone is desirable. For this purpose, we note that, when the irradiation starts, both the characteristic heat diffusion time along and perpendicular to the z axis, namely $\tau_{\parallel} \sim z_0^2 / D$ and $\tau_{\perp} \sim w_0^2 / D$, which are of the order of $1 \mu s$, are several orders of magnitude smaller than the time duration over, which the glass is heated, namely NT = 10 ms. At the end of the irradiation, the anisotropy of the heat source confined to the focal volume is, therefore, washed out and the resulting isotherm surfaces can be considered spherical, so as the thermally affected zone. This has been checked by solving numerically the threedimensional time-dependent heat equation with pulsed Gaussian heat source.⁷ Then, for the sake of simplicity, the thermally affected zone is modeled as a cylinder of diameter D with a volume identical to a sphere of diameter D, namely with height H = 2D/3. We also assume a uniform radial birefringence Δn , which corresponds to the difference between the refractive indices along the radial and the azimuthal directions of a cylindrical coordinate system centered on the center of the irradiated region (see the corresponding unit vectors \mathbf{u}_r and \mathbf{u}_{ϕ} on Fig. 2).

Then, neglecting diffraction effects, the output light field associated with a circularly polarized incident probe plane wave with electric field amplitude E_0 and wavelength in vacuum λ_{probe} can be calculated using the Jones formalism. It turns out that the output field component whose polarization state is orthogonal to the incident one has, up to a phase factor, an amplitude $E_0 \sin(\Phi/2) \exp(\pm 2i\phi)$, where Φ $=2\pi H\Delta n/\lambda_{\rm probe}$ is the overall optical phase delay experienced by probe light and ϕ the azimuthal angle in the (x, y) plane with \pm sign referring to the handedness of the incident circular polarization state. The appearance of a phase singularity with topological charge two featured by the phase factor $\exp(\pm 2i\phi)$ is representative of the spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum process associated to radial birefringence, where the spin angular momentum flipping



FIG. 2. Sketch of the optical vortex generation from spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum conversion for the geometry used for the evaluation of the fs-laser-induced radial birefringence, where the photomodified region is assumed to be a cylinder of height H and diameter D with radial distribution of the optical axis. The input field is circularly polarized (here right-handed) and the output light field component whose polarization state is orthogonal to the input one carries a phase singularity with topological charge two, as illustrated by the equiphase surfaces.



FIG. 3. Diameter *D* of the thermally affected zone (a), spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion efficiency η (b), and the estimated radial birefringence Δn (c) [see text for details] as a function of the fluence. Data are shown for different polarization states of the writing beam as indicated in the legend panel.

 $(\pm\hbar \rightarrow \mp\hbar)$ related to polarization state changes is associated to the appearance of a $\pm 2\hbar$ orbital angular momentum per photon. The latter process is illustrated in Fig. 2.

In a practical way, the diameter D (hence H) is chosen from the well-defined size of the photomodified regions observed between crossed circular polarizers, as illustrated in Fig. 1(d) where $\lambda_{\text{probe}} = 532$ nm. By doing so, we find the dependence of D on the fluence that is shown in Fig. 3(a). On the other hand, the fraction of power of the incident probe light that is converted to the orthogonal circular polarization state, $\eta = \sin^2(\Phi/2)$, is measured from the ratio between the collected power over the disk of diameter Dunder crossed circular polarizers and the total output power over the same area, see Fig. 3(b). Finally, the radial birefringence is obtained following $|\Delta n| = (\lambda_{\text{probe}}/\pi H) \arcsin \sqrt{\eta}$ and we find $|\Delta n| \sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ whatever is the polarization state of the writing beam, see Fig. 3(c). Note that, in view of the crude assumption of the proposed geometrical model, it is fair to say that the obtained data only aim at giving an order of magnitude of the radial birefringence.

The estimated value of the radial birefringence turns out to be of the same order of magnitude as the one measured in a previous work in soda-lime glass under multi-shot fs-DLW with $T/\tau \sim 1.^{7}$ Indeed, the radial birefringence data presented in Fig. 10 of Ref. 7 were obtained with $w_0 \simeq 1.2 \,\mu m$ $(\lambda = 800 \text{ nm} \text{ and } \text{NA} = 0.4)$ and $D \simeq 60 \,\mu\text{m}$, hence $H \simeq 40 \,\mu \text{m}$. Since the measured average retardance of an effective cylinder of height H and diameter D with uniform radial birefringence Δn is estimated from Ref. 7 to be $|\Delta n|H \simeq$ 20 nm, we obtain $|\Delta n| \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ in that case. Noteworthy, such a value is however obtain from $N = 2.5 \times 10^5$ pulses with 2 μ J energy, namely a total input energy $\mathcal{E} = 0.5 \text{ J.}^7$ In contrast, in the present case, $N = 10^5$ and the pulse energy is E = 15-20 nJ, which gives $\mathcal{E} = NE = 1.5 - 2$ mJ. Therefore, we have lowered the energy budget by more than 100 times, which relies on the presence of a small amount of silver, namely 0.01% of Ag₂O in our PTR glass, which enhances the light-induced refractive index change.

Also, we note that laser-induced radial birefringence of a thermal origin has recently been reported in Ref. 16 in Ag^+/Na^+ ion-exchanged soda-lime glass, however under different illumination conditions, namely continuous-wave laser beam with much larger spot diameter of the order of 1 mm. In addition, the light-induced retardance spatial profile is different too, since the birefringence modifications extend far away the beam waist in that case.¹⁷ Nevertheless, a quantitative comparison can be made by using the asymptotic value at large distance from the writing beam propagation axis of the expected radial birefringence, Δn_{∞} . For this purpose, we extract from the data presented in Fig. 1 of Ref. 16 an asymptotic absolute phase delay $\Phi_{\infty} = 45$ rad, which gives $|\Delta n_{\infty}| = (\Phi_{\infty}/2\pi)(\lambda_{\text{probe}}/H) \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ for the used sample of thickness H = 0.85 mm, where we took a typical probe beam wavelength in vacuum $\lambda_{\text{probe}} = 633$ nm. Therefore, a 10 times larger radial birefringence is found in Ref. 16, however with a total input energy $\mathcal{E} = 70$ J that is more that 10^4 times larger than in the present case.

Moreover, despite a modest value for the spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion efficiency η , namely less than 1% as shown in Fig. 3(b), we stress that we obtain a rather good figure of merit that we define as $\xi = \eta/\mathcal{E}$. Indeed, here, we obtain $\xi \sim 1 \text{ J}^{-1}$ ($\eta \simeq 3 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\mathcal{E} \simeq 2 \text{ mJ}$), which is typically 1000 times larger than the value $\xi \sim 10^{-3} \text{ J}^{-1}$ (with $\eta \simeq 0.1$ and $\mathcal{E} \simeq 70 \text{ J}$) reported in Ref. 16,



FIG. 4. (a) Array of 100×100 spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum converters over 1 cm² area, each individual converters being obtained using $N = 10^5$ pulses, a fluence F = 8.46 J.cm⁻², and depth $d = 200 \,\mu$ m. (b) Enlargement on 10×10 array of optical vortices obtained at the output of the sample at 532 nm wavelength. (c) Enlargement of panel (b) exhibiting the phase profile of the obtained optical vortices with topological charge two. (d) Zoom on the phase spatial distribution of a single optical vortex.

where the spin-to-orbital angular momentum conversion has also been investigated.

Finally, we note that the ability to generate light beams endowed with phase singularities (i.e., optical vortex beams) at predetermined location and at the microscopic scale has been achieved here. This allows generating dense arrays of optical vortices, as illustrated in Fig. 4 where an array of 100×100 spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum converters over 1 cm² area is shown in panel (a). Indeed, a characteristic doughnut-shaped light intensity profile is observed at the output of each individual converter under crossed circular polarizers, see Fig. 4(b). Moreover, the associated phase profiles all exhibit the expected winding of the phase by 4π around the center of the beam, as shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), where the phase spatial distribution is experimentally retrieved from spatially resolved polarimetric analysis following the procedure detailed in Ref. 9.

Noticeably, the obtained 10^4 cm^{-2} surface densities of optical vortex generators is as dense as the one reported in Ref. 3, but extends over a thousand times larger area, which is made easily possible since the present direct laser writing does not need a point-by-point definition of each individual spin-to-orbital optical angular momentum converter.

The authors are grateful to J. Lumeau and L. B. Glebov for providing the photo-thermo-refractive glass.

- ¹R. R. Gattass and E. Mazur, Nature Photon. 2, 219 (2008).
- ²G. Knöner, S. Parkin, T. A. Nieminen, V. L. Y. Loke, N. R. Heckenberg, and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, Opt. Express 15, 5521 (2007).
- ³E. Brasselet, M. Malinauskas, A. Žukauskas, and S. Juodkazis, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 211108 (2010).
- ⁴M. Beresna, M. Gecevicius, P. G. Kazansky, and T. Gertus, Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 201101 (2011).
- ⁵J. Morikawa, A. Orie, T. Hashimoto, and S. Juodkazis, Opt. Express **18**, 8300 (2010).
- ⁶M. Beresna, M. Gecevicius, N. M. Bulgakova, and P. G. Kazansky, Opt. Express **19**, 18989 (2011).
- ⁷M. Shimizu, M. Sakakura, M. Ohnishi, Y. Shimotsuma, T. Nakaya, K. Miura, and K. Hirao, J. Appl. Phys. **108**, 073533 (2010).
- ⁸A. Royon, Y. Petit, G. Papon, M. Richardson, and L. Canioni, Opt. Mater. Express 1, 866 (2011).
- ⁹E. Brasselet, N. Murazawa, H. Misawa, and S. Juodkazis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 103903 (2009).
- ¹⁰O. M. Efimov, L. B. Glebov, L. N. Glebova, K. C. Richardson, and V. I. Smirnov, Appl. Opt. **38**, 619 (1999).
- ¹¹O. M. Efimov, L. B. Glebov, and H. P. Andre, Appl. Opt. **41**, 1864 (2002).
- ¹²L. A. Siiman, J. Lumeau, and L. B. Glebov, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 354, 4070 (2008).
- ¹³T. Cardinal, O. Efimov, H. Francois-Saint-Cyr, L. Glebov, L. Glebova, and V. Smirnov, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **325**, 275 (2003).
- ¹⁴E. N. Glezer and E. Mazur, Appl. Phys. Lett. 71, 882 (1997).
- ¹⁵S. M. Eaton, H. Zhang, P. R. Herman, F. Yoshino, L. Shah, J. Bovatsek, and A. Y. Arai, Opt. Express 13, 4708 (2005).
- ¹⁶J. Mostafavi-Amjad, H. R. Khalesifard, S. Slussarenko, E. Karimi, L. Marrucci, and E. Santamato, Appl. Phys. Lett. **99**, 011113 (2011).
- ¹⁷E. Khazanov, O. V. Kulagin, S. Yoshida, D. B. Tanner, and D. H. Reitze, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 35, 1116 (1999).