Babinet-bilayered geometric phase optical elements
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Geometric phase optical elements based on structured anisotropy are widely used for phase shaping via their orientational degree of freedom. To date, amplitude shaping via space-variant retardance is much less investigated, a practical reason being that the spin-orbit interaction of light couples retardance with the dynamic part of the optical phase. Inspired by the complementary diffractive elements associated with Babinet’s principle, a bilayered subwavelength grating design is proposed in order to cancel out the spatial modulation of the dynamic phase usually associated with space-variant birefringent phase retardation. This concept is illustrated in the framework of single-mode Laguerre–Gauss beam shaping.
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Structuring matter at the subwavelength scale is known to allow the design and manufacture of artificial materials with wave properties that are not possessed by the constituting bulk media taken apart. These materials are usually called metamaterials, a name derived from the Greek “meta” (beyond) emphasizing the access to novel properties and phenomena that seems limited so far only by our imagination. In electromagnetism, metamaterials evolved from radio frequencies to optics with substantial conceptual and engineering advances during the last two decades [1]. This concept went beyond electromagnetism, and has been addressed when thermodynamics, acoustics, elasticity, or quantum mechanics are at play [2]. Quite naturally, the process of progress has led to the development of dynamic versions of static metamaterials toward the creation of enhanced or novel functionalities [3,4] and now embarks the richness and subtleties of nonlinear physics as well [5,6].

Of course, advanced designs continue to be developed even in the linear case. For instance, in the context of spin-controlled asymmetric orbital angular momentum states, it has been proposed recently to encode independent dynamic and geometric phase profiles into single metallic [7] or dielectric [8] metasurfaces. In practice, this is made by controlling locally the orientation and aspect ratio of equally spaced subwavelength anisotropic nanostructures. Besides phase control, amplitude shaping is also accessible to metasurfaces by exploiting their vectorial nature. This requires the combination of the local control of the birefringent phase retardation with post-polarization filtering, which has been exploited recently in the context of modal beam shaping [9]. In short, the optical characteristics of a passive metasurface are determined by the spatial distribution of three quantities: (i) the dynamic phase, \( \Phi_{\text{dyn}} \), which is associated with the average optical path length; (ii) the geometric phase, \( \Phi_{\text{geom}} \), which uniquely depends on the structure of the medium; and (iii) the birefringent phase retardation, \( \Delta \), which arises from anisotropic phase delay between the ordinary and extraordinary waves. From a general point of view, \( \Phi_{\text{dyn}} \), \( \Phi_{\text{geom}} \), and \( \Delta \) can be independently adjusted by design. However, this requires a conception step that relies on optimizing these parameters via numerical simulations, which prevents from an intuitive approach to conceive novel photonic functionalities.

Here, inspired by the Babinet’s principle, a bilayered design made of two complementary subwavelength gratings (see Fig. 1) is proposed with the aim of getting rid of the dynamic phase spatial modulation usually associated with amplitude modulation driven by space-variant birefringence. This is done by multiplexing two independent structural degrees of freedom that independently act on amplitude and phase. Namely, we...
address the design of metasurfaces having a uniform \( \Phi_{\text{dyn}} \) while (i) the grating period and its filling factor define \( \Delta \), and (ii) the orientation angle, \( \psi \), of the grating wavevector defines \( \Phi_{\text{geom}} = 2\sigma\psi \), where \( \sigma = \pm 1 \) is the helicity of the incident light. In order to gain insight, it is worth recalling that the behavior of a transparent optical metasurface in the paraxial regime can be grasped simply and analytically. Indeed, by considering an incident circularly polarized field described by the unit vector \( c_\sigma = (x + iy)/\sqrt{2} \), where \((x,y)\) is the plane of the metasurface, the output light field can be expressed as [10]

\[
E_{\text{out}} \propto e^{i\Phi_{\text{dyn}}}[\cos(\Delta/2)c_x + i \sin(\Delta/2)e^{i\Phi_{\text{geom}}}c_y].
\] (1)

The sought-after Babinet metasurface is ideally characterized by an effective \( \sigma \)-dependent contra-circular complex transmittance,

\[
\tau_{\text{eff}} \propto \sin(\Delta/2) \exp(2i\sigma\psi).
\] (2)

Qualitatively, the proposed Babinet-bilayered design shown in Fig. 1 in the one-dimensional case of a square waveform with subwavelength spatial period \( \Lambda \) can be understood from the following reasoning. Obviously, a slab of an isotropic dielectric is associated with a uniform dynamic phase. In contrast, once periodically structured, it behaves as a uniaxial dielectric slab [11] with a dynamic phase that depends on the amount of the removed material that is characterized by the filling factor \( F \).

The idea comes from the following intuition: the sequence of two complementary subwavelength gratings obtained by splitting an isotropic dielectric slab is thought as an effective subwavelength structured slab without matter removal overall. As such, one could expect a constant dynamic phase independently of \( F \), while the form birefringence remains strongly dependent on \( F \).

The above reasoning is investigated quantitatively in the framework of the second-order effective theory [12], by considering diamond material in air as a case study, whose refractive indices are, respectively, taken as \( N = 2.425 \) and \( 1 \) at \( \lambda = 532 \) nm wavelength. More precisely, a subwavelength grating illuminated at normal incidence and characterized with a filling factor \( F \) and a period \( \Lambda \) behaves as a uniaxial medium with refractive indices along a direction parallel (\( || \)) and perpendicular (\( \perp \)) to the grating wavevector that are given by

\[
n_{||} = n_{|| 0} \left[ 1 + \frac{\pi^2 \Lambda^2}{32 \lambda^2} F^2 \tilde{F}^2 n_{|| 0}^4 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N^2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{3}
\]

\[
n_{\perp} = n_{\perp 0} \left[ 1 + \frac{\pi^2 \Lambda^2}{32 \lambda^2} F^2 \tilde{F}^2 (N^2 - 1)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, \( \tilde{F} = 1 - F \), \( n_{\perp 0} = (N^2 F + \tilde{F})^{1/2} \), and \( n_{|| 0} = N(F + N^2 \tilde{F})^{-1/2} \).

Noting that the dynamic phase of the bilayer is \( \Phi_{\text{dyn}} = 2kL\tilde{n} \), where \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \) and \( L \) is the thickness of each layer and \( \tilde{n} = (n + \tilde{n})/2 \) with \( n \) and \( \tilde{n} \) being the average refractive index of the first subwavelength grating and its complement, the dynamic phase behavior as a function of the structural characteristics is assessed via the study of \( \tilde{n} \). This is reported in Fig. 2(a) where \( \tilde{n} \) is plotted in the plane of parameters \((\Lambda, F)\). Here, the chosen range \( 0 < N\Lambda/\lambda < 1 \) refers to the absence of diffraction orders other than the zeroth-order one, which practically defines a subwavelength grating. Clearly, there is an optimal value for the grating period value that ensures a minimal dependence of \( \tilde{n} \) on \( F \), as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Namely, as shown in the inset of that figure, \( N\Lambda/\lambda = 0.789 \) minimizes the standard deviation of \( \tilde{n}(F) \), which is the value used further in this work. The optical properties of the individual subwavelength gratings constituting the optimized bilayered-element are summarized in Fig. 3, where the effective extraordinary (\( n_{||} \)) and ordinary (\( n_{\perp} \)) indices plotted as a function of \( F \). These two plots emphasize the compensation mechanism leading to an effective average refractive index of the bilayered optical element that varies much less with \( F \) than the average refractive index of any of the two gratings taken separately. On the other hand, the birefringence of the complementary gratings has similar behavior, hence adding up.

Said differently, the filling factor can be used to modulate the birefringent phase retardation of the bilayer, \( \Delta = 4\pi d\tilde{n}L/\lambda \) with \( d\tilde{n} = n_{||} - n_{\perp} \) and \( n_{|| 0} + n_{\perp 0} \) indices are plotted as a function of \( F \). As shown in Fig. 4(a), \( \tilde{n} \) exhibits slight modulations near \( F \to 0 \) and \( F \to 1 \), which implies that the optical element suffers from residual modulation of the dynamic phase if one exploits the full range for \( F \). Nevertheless, considering a situation that corresponds to a
birefringent phase retardation $\Delta = \pi$ at $F = 0.5$, there is a substantial decrease of the magnitude of the dynamic phase modulation in the case of the Babinet bilayer compared to the case of a single layer. This is illustrated in Fig. 5, where the variation of the dynamic phase in the range $0 < F < 0.5$ is shown in both cases, imposing a fixed maximal birefringent phase retardation at $F = 0.5$. The dynamic phase profile is flattened by a factor of $\approx 42$ when considering the full range $0 < F < 0.5$, and this factor increases up to $\approx 395$ by restricting to the range $0.2 < F < 0.5$, hence limiting the drawbacks associated with the resolution capabilities of nanofabrication tools as $F \to 0$. However, in order to obtain a full modulation of $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ between 0 and 1, one has to increase $L$ in order to fulfill the condition $\Delta = 2\pi$ (i.e., $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 0$) at $F = 0.5$, which gives a thickness $L \approx 450$ nm and $\Delta = \pi$ (i.e., $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 1$) at $F \approx 0.17$ with the present parameters. Since the condition $NA/\lambda = 0.789$ corresponds to $\Lambda \approx 170$ nm, the latter conditions involve the fabrication of subwavelength gratings with aspect ratio $L/(FA)$ up to 15, which is accessible to nanofabrication techniques [13].

Next, the interest of the proposed concept is illustrated in the framework of beam shaping by considering the conception of geometric phase Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) modal beam shapers. LG beams refer to a complete orthogonal basis for the scalar paraxial Helmholtz equation [14], each element of the basis being univocally associated to an azimuthal index, $l$, and a radial index, $p$. Many strategies have been developed toward the generation of LG-like beams using either extra-cavity or intra-cavity schemes, as reviewed in [9]. The use of geometric phase optical elements is especially interesting in that the helicity-dependent geometric phase offers a route to switch between a mode $(l, p)$ and a mode $(-l, p)$ by flipping the incident helicity of light from $\sigma$ to $-\sigma$, provided that $\psi = (l/2)\phi$.

Since the first LG-like experimental demonstrations in the case $p = 0$ in the early 2000s [15] and $p \geq 1$ only a few years ago [16,17], the creation of geometric phase LG modal converters as such has not yet been reported. Recently, a single-layer theoretical proposal combining dynamic and geometric phase modulations at fixed $n_1$ has been reported [9]. However, the corresponding design breaks the right/left symmetry and thus works for only a single value of the helicity. A quasi-modal version recovering the latter broken symmetry has been discussed on experimental grounds [18], though at the expense of substantial contra-circular transmission losses.

Here, we show that the use of space-variant Babinet-bilayered subwavelength gratings allows to create polarization-controlled LG modal beam shapers. This is discussed in the representative case $p = 0$; hence, the index $p$ is omitted in what follows, and we note that the generalization to high-order radial modes poses no particular problem except more cumbersome calculations. At first, we recall that a LG$_l$ field associated with the waist radius $w$ is expressed in its focal plane as

$$E_l^{(w)} \propto \left(\frac{L}{w}\right) \left[\exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{w^2} + il\phi\right)\right]. \tag{5}$$

Then we deduce from Eq. (2), which describes an ideal Babinet-bilayer optical element, that the use of a birefringence phase retardation radial profile $\Delta(r)$ satisfying the condition

$$\sin[\Delta(r)/2] = \max_r \left[\frac{r^{|l|}}{w^{|l|}} \exp(-\frac{r^2}{w^2} + \frac{r^2}{w^2})\right] \tag{6}$$

combined with an optical axis orientation angle $\psi = (l/2)\phi$, ensures the generation of a LG$_{nl}$ mode with waist $w$ by placing the optical element in the focal plane (or, in practice, by using a collimated beam) of a normally incident circularly polarized Gaussian beam with waist $w_{\text{in}}$, provided that $w < w_{\text{in}}$. The efficiency of the device (i.e., the fraction of the incident power that is converted into the desired mode power) is $\left(4/w_{\text{in}}^2\right)\int_0^\infty \sin^2[\Delta(r)/2] \exp(-2r^2/w_{\text{in}}^2) \, dr$ and depends on $w/w_{\text{in}}$. Its optimal value is reached for $w_{\text{opt}} = w_{\text{in}}(1 + |l|)^{-1/2}$. In this case, introducing $\rho = r/w_{\text{in}}$, Eq. (6) simplifies to

$$\sin[\Delta_{\text{opt}}(\rho)/2] = 2 \rho |l| |\psi| \exp(-\rho^2 + |l|/2). \tag{8}$$

The modal figure of merit of a space-variant Babinet-bilayer design given by Eqs. (7) and (8) is assessed quantitatively by evaluating the optimal power fraction $C_{\text{el}}$ of the $-\sigma$-polarized field component at the output of the element that corresponds to a LG$_{nl}$ mode. Namely,

$$C_{\text{el}}(w) = \frac{\left|\int_0^\infty E_l^{(w)} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{c}^*_\sigma \, dr\right|^2}{\int_0^\infty |E_l^{(w)}|^2 \, dr \int_0^\infty |\mathbf{E}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{c}^*_\sigma|^2 \, dr}, \tag{9}$$

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{(a) Extraordinary ($n_\parallel$), ordinary ($n_\perp$), and average ($\bar{n}$) effective refractive indices of the optimized Babinet-bilayered subwavelength grating that corresponds to $NA/\lambda = 0.789$ according to the inset of Fig. 2(b). (b) Corresponding effective birefringence, $d\bar{n} = n_\parallel - n_\perp$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig5.png}
\caption{Normalized variation of the dynamic phase as a function of the filling factor for a single subwavelength grating (black curve) and its comparison with the optimized Babinet bilayer (red curve) for a given maximal retardance at $F = 0.5$.}
\end{figure}
where $E_{\text{out}}$ is given by Eq. (1), and the asterisk denotes complex conjugation, paying attention to the fact that LG modal decomposition is not unique [19,20]. By considering the retardance range $0 < \Delta < \pi$, we obtain a high degree of modality. Namely, $C_{1 \perp} > 0.998$ for $l = 1, 2, 3$, which is compared to two other situations. First, the case of single subwavelength gratings characterized by the same structural features as the Babinet bilayers regarding both the optical axis orientation angle [Eq. (7)] and the birefringence phase retardation [Eq. (8)], to which we refer as the “non-twisted single layer” case. Second, the case discussed in Ref. [9], to which we refer as the “twisted single layer” case, according to $\psi_{l}(r, \phi) = [l\delta - \Phi_{\text{dyn}}(r)]/2$. In that case, the birefringence phase retardation is also given by Eq. (8), while the optical axis orientation angle is designed to cancel out the dynamic phase for one of the two helicity states (namely, $C_{1 \perp} = 1$) at the expense of doubling it for the other (namely, $C_{1 \perp} < 1$). The results are summarized in Fig. 6 and Table 1, both emphasizing the superior modal performances of Babinet bilayers.

In the field of optical information processing, the spin reversal symmetry of the proposed approach allows considering the extension of polarization-controlled high-order Poincaré spheres to the case of LG modes with given azimuthal and radial indices, $l$ and $p$. This solves a serious limitation of present approaches restricted so far to an infinite superposition of radial modes at fixed $l$, based on non-modal geometric phase elements associated with $\psi = (l/2)\phi$ and $\Delta = \pi$ [21].

In practice, there is no need for stringent subwavelength-precision relative orientational alignment of the two subwavelength gratings, as we are dealing with effective media. In addition, a monolithic design consisting of placing the two structured layers at both sides of a substrate can be considered, whose feasibility is supported by the previously reported fabrication of double-sided geometric phase lenses [22]. Still, one should keep in mind that the grating depth and the nature of the surrounding media play important roles in the effective properties of the structured material [23]. In addition, Fresnel reflections may also be taken into account, at least for two main reasons: (i) the modification of the incident polarization as light enters into the structures due to optical anisotropy and (ii) cavity effects associated with the presence of two structures. These possible drawbacks are evaluated at $F = 0.5$. This gives for the case (i), the spin-flipping of $\leq 10\%$ of the incident photons for incident circular polarization, and for the case (ii), a typical Fabry–Pérot finesse $\leq 1$. We conclude that although an attempt to implement such a design is not excluded in principle, quantitative attention must be kept in mind.

![Fig. 6. Modal coefficients $C_{\perp \perp}$ versus the waist $w$ of the sought-after LG$_{17}$ mode for three modal designs and $l = (1, 2, 3)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grating Type</th>
<th>$\ell = 1$</th>
<th>$\ell = 2$</th>
<th>$\ell = 3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Twisted monolayer$^*$</td>
<td>(1.0, 0.82)</td>
<td>(1.0, 2.74)</td>
<td>(1.0, 5.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-twisted monolayer$^*$</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babinet bilayer$^*$</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$This case refers to Ref. [9], and the two values refer to $\sigma = \pm 1$.
$^*$These cases are independent on $\sigma$.

Finally, we note that it is not the first time that Babinet’s principle has inspired the physics of metasurfaces and metamaterials, a pioneering example being the introduction of complementary split-ring resonators acting as electric point dipoles with negative polarizability [24], which was followed by a huge number of developments. Present work therefore illustrates how basic optical concepts can bring attractive photonic opportunities to a field that has much progressed during the last two decades [25], in particular when the orbital angular momentum is at play.
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